	UNDAF Evalua	tion Manageme	ent Response			
Evaluation Title/Year:	Terminal PN	G UNDAF 2012-2	017 Evaluation 2016			
Body Responsible for completing management response:	Evaluation Management Group					
Evaluation recommendation 1:	-	on - Set the new nger-term 2030	UNDAF five-year planning f vision.	rame of 2018 -2022		
Recommendation to:	UNCT	0	Priority level (1 to 3):	1		
Management response: Agree			-			
Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Trackin	5		
		unit(s)	Comments	Status		
1.1 During UNDAF Common Country Analysis and Strategic Planning phase consider long- term (15 year) planning context. Conduct futures scenarios exercise and Ensure this long term strategic planning vision is embedded in the UNDAF strategy and Outcomes.	August – December 2016	Coordinated through RCO & PCC Validated and endorsed through United Nations Country Team	The vision for UNDAF planning is to be aligned with, and capture, the SDGs and national development priorities. It is important to take a holistic approach to mainstreaming the SDGs ensuring adherence to the principles of the 'National Strategy for Responsible Sustainable Development for Papua New Guinea' in every area of the UN's work, including planning.	Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable		
Evaluation recommendation 2:	UNDAF Res outcomes/in	ults Framewor ter-agency outo	hening monitoring and rep k, comprised of a red comes, with stronger base erifiable data sources.	uced number of		
Recommendation to:	UNCT		Priority level (1 to 3):	1		
Management response: Agree						
Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible unit(s)	Trackin	5		
			Comments	Status		
2.1 During UNDAF Strategic Planning phase prioritize rationalising a reduced number of Outcomes, resulting in a simplified coordination and governance structure.	September- December 2016	UNCT with support from PCC		Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable		
Evaluation recommendation 3:	investment gathering, n	in capacity de	sthening monitoring and r velopment focused on s management systems l e SDGs.	trengthening data		
Recommendation to:	UNCT		Priority level (1 to 3):	1		
Management response: Agree			· · ·	-		
Key action(s)	Time frame		Trackin	7		

		Responsible	Comments	Status
		unit(s)		
3.1 Mainstream a capacity	October –	PCC with	The PNG government	Initiated
development response as a key	December	strategy	acknowledges the current	Not Initiated
approach throughout UNDAF	2016	validated	gaps in data and	Completed
Outcomes, taking into		and endorse	encourages all work in	No longer
consideration the following;		by UNCT	this area to conform to	applicable
strengthening systems,			the PNG Strategy for the	
promoting and recognising			Development of Statistics	
national ownership and working			(SDS 2015-2024). The	
at the individual, institutional			national priorities of the	
and 'enabling environment'			SDS should be reflected in	
levels.			corresponding UN	
			strategies and responses.	
Evaluation recommendation 4:	Quality data	systems stren	gthening monitoring and r	eporting- Review
	UNDAF moni	toring and repor	ting practices to respond to d	donor requests for
	stronger co	mbined annual	monitoring pin pointing	bottlenecks and
	identifying ag	greement on solu	utions to be implemented in t	he following year.
Recommendation to:	UNCT		Priority level (1 to 3):	3

Management response: Partially Agree

The UN in PNG currently does produce a combined Annual Progress Report which includes information on development trends, progress of Delivering as One mechanisms in PNG, the Overall Analysis of delivery rates of the UN programme and reports against the performance of the UNDAF Outcomes as rooted in the Results Matrix. Additionally, the report covers the challenges identified during the year and appropriate mitigation strategies as well as annual financial performance (including the PNG UN Country Fund- the 'One Fund' administered by the Multi-Partner Trust Fund Office).

The actions necessary to improve reporting mainly relate to ensuring the next UNDAF has a fit for purpose Results Framework with baseline data and indicators with reliable data sources. Pin pointing of bottlenecks is and should be an ongoing process enabled and enhanced by cross sectoral and development partner, civil society and government engagement with risk logs, lessons learned and mitigation strategies being embedded as core components of the monitoring and reporting functions of the UN.

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status
4.1 During the M&E phase	Ongoing	RBM sub-		Initiated
revise the Framework to ensure		committee		Not Initiated
both quantitative and		supported by		Completed
qualitative reporting on		UN results		No longer
Outcomes.		groups (task		applicable
		teams)		
4.2 Develop capacity of	Ongoing	RBM sub-		Initiated
programme staff and partners		committee		Not Initiated
to deliver results oriented		leading		Completed
reporting presenting both		capacity		No longer
outcome/output status and		development		applicable
financial data per outcome.		process		
Evaluation recommendation 5:	Quality data	systems stren	gthening monitoring and	reporting- Include
	story telling i	n future prograr	nme planning, monitoring ar	d evaluation plans
	reflecting pri	ority for particip	patory processes and to ensu	ure a more gender

	responsive	and human	rights based	approach	to	programme
	implementat	ion.				
Recommendation to:	UNCT		Priority level	(1 to 3):	2	
Management response: Agree	·		·			
Key action(s)	Time frame Responsible		Tracking			
		unit(s)	Comments		Stat	us
5.1 Develop capacity and	July 2016 -	RBM sub-			Initi	ated
awareness amongst UN and	2017	committee			Not	Initiated
implementing partner	onwards	supported by	Complet		pleted	
programming staff on the value		UN			No l	onger
and 'how to' of incorporating		Communicati			app	licable
story telling in programme		ons Group				
development and using						
storytelling as a participatory						
tool that engages and informs						
programme stakeholders.						
Evaluation recommendation 6:	dialogue wit	h donors regard	thening monito ding the current	practice of	earm	arking fund
			G UN Country Fi		•	
		-	ins of mitigating	-	•	acts on join
		bilisation and in	tegrated prograr		-	
Recommendation to:	UNCT		Priority level	(1 to 3):	3	
Management response: Partially Reasons for current earmarking p	policies from do		G UN Country Fu	nd have bee	n subj	ect of
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors	policies from do vell understood funds and work and work towa	l. < to mitigate the ards a mutually	negative impact	ts of earmar	king sl	hould be
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d	policies from do vell understood funds and work and work towa ecision making	l. < to mitigate the ards a mutually :	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu	king sl res ac	hould be
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors	policies from do vell understood funds and work and work towa	l. < to mitigate the ards a mutually	negative impact	ts of earmar	king sl res ac	hould be countability
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s)	funds and work and work towa ecision making	to mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s)	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu	king sl res ac Stat	hould be countability us
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in	policies from do vell understood funds and work and work towa ecision making	I. < to mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu	king sl res ac Stat	hould be countability us ated
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key	funds and work and work towa ecision making	L < to mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not	hould be countability us ated Initiated
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in	funds and work and work towa ecision making	I. < to mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com	hould be countability us ated Initiated ppleted
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key	funds and work and work towa ecision making	L < to mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l	hould be countability us ated Initiated apleted onger
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key	funds and work and work towa ecision making	L < to mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l	hould be countability us ated Initiated ppleted
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key donors.	oolicies from do vell understood funds and work and work towa ecision making Time frame Ongoing	L o mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident Coordinator	negative impact agreeable solutio	ts of earmar on that ensu Tracking	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l app	hould be countability us ated Initiated opleted onger licable
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key	Comparative	L o mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident Coordinator	negative impact	ts of earmar on that ensu Tracking	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l app UN's	hould be countability us ated Initiated onger licable comparative
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key donors.	funds and work and work towa ecision making Time frame Ongoing Comparative advantage a	L o mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident Coordinator	negative impact agreeable solution Comments	ts of earmar on that ensu Tracking	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l app UN's	hould be countability us ated Initiated onger licable comparative
 Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key donors. Evaluation recommendation 7: 	Comparative advantage a 2018–2022.	L o mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident Coordinator	country develo	ts of earmar on that ensu Tracking Ilysis of the opment nee	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l app UN's eds fo	hould be countability us ated Initiated onger licable comparative
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key donors. Evaluation recommendation 7: Recommendation to:	Comparative advantage a 2018–2022.	 to mitigate the ards a mutually and a mutual and a mutua	country develo	ts of earmar on that ensu Tracking Ilysis of the opment nee	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l app UN's eds fo	hould be countability us ated Initiated onger licable comparative
Reasons for current earmarking p discussion with donors and are w Advocacy around un-earmarked cognisant of the needs of donors for results and transparency of d Key action(s) 6.1 Raise issue of earmarking in annual meetings with key donors. Evaluation recommendation 7: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree	Comparative advantage a 2018–2022. UNCT	L o mitigate the ards a mutually Responsible unit(s) UNCT lead by Resident Coordinator e Advantage- Up gainst identified	country develo	ts of earmar on that ensu Tracking Ilysis of the opment nee (1 to 3):	king sl res ac Stat Initi Not Com No l app UN's eds fo	hould be countability us ated Initiated onger licable comparative r the period

 8.1 Advocate with the Department of National Planning and Monitoring to activate the Quarterly Review Stakeholder meetings. Evaluation recommendation 9: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 	and engage	-	Government would be under the auspices of the 2015 PNG Development Cooperation Policy (pages 34 – 38). Currently some sectors have active quarterly review meetings while others have lapsed. as One- Ensure non-resident a ut, programme developme Priority level (1 to 3): Tracking Comments	ent and ongoing
Department of National Planning and Monitoring to activate the Quarterly Review Stakeholder meetings. Evaluation recommendation 9: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree	and engage monitoring o UNCT	in the rollo f the UNDAF.	under the auspices of the 2015 PNG Development Cooperation Policy (pages 34 – 38). Currently some sectors have active quarterly review meetings while others have lapsed. as One- Ensure non-resident a ut, programme developme Priority level (1 to 3):	Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable agencies align with ent and ongoing 1
Department of National Planning and Monitoring to activate the Quarterly Review Stakeholder meetings. Evaluation recommendation 9: Recommendation to:	and engage monitoring o	in the rollo	under the auspices of the 2015 PNG Development Cooperation Policy (pages 34 – 38). Currently some sectors have active quarterly review meetings while others have lapsed. as One- Ensure non-resident a ut, programme developme	Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable agencies align with ent and ongoing
Department of National Planning and Monitoring to activate the Quarterly Review Stakeholder meetings. Evaluation recommendation 9:	and engage monitoring o	in the rollo	under the auspices of the 2015 PNG Development Cooperation Policy (pages 34 – 38). Currently some sectors have active quarterly review meetings while others have lapsed. as One- Ensure non-resident a ut, programme developme	Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable agencies align with ent and ongoing
Department of National Planning and Monitoring to activate the Quarterly Review			under the auspices of the 2015 PNG Development Cooperation Policy (pages 34 – 38). Currently some sectors have active quarterly review meetings while others	Not Initiated Completed No longer
Department of National Planning and Monitoring to activate the Quarterly Review			under the auspices of the 2015 PNG Development	Not Initiated Completed No longer
	Ongoing		This work with	
Key action(s)	Time trame	Responsible unit(s)	Tracking Comments	Status
The UNDAF governance structur recommendation. While the UN the Quarterly Review Stakeholder	es will be reviewill advocate w	vith the Departr revived, the own	nent of National Planning an nership of this decision is with	d Monitoring that the Government.
Management response: Partially				-
Recommendation to:	Meetings an Steering Com	d give renewe	d strategic focus to the UI re management and accounta	NDAF Programme
Evaluation recommendation 8:	structure to	rationalise th	as One- Review the current U ne number of inter-agenc Revive the Quarterly Re	y outcomes and
advantage of partners and government.			produced including a matrix outlining the major comparative advantage themes identified. This was presented to the UNCT and endorsed as an important reference point and guide in the ongoing UNDAF rollout process.	
consideration of the complementary comparative		participation from UNCT members	On June 17 a comparative advantage exercise was conducted including a SWOT analysis. A report of the workshop was	Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable

9.1 Continue dialogue with non- resident agencies at the UNCT level to discuss engagement in	February – December 2016	Resident Coordinator in		Initiated Not Initiated Completed
PNG, agree on actions to increase communication and		conjunction with UNCT		No longer applicable
alignment with the current and new UNDAF.				
9.2 Include non-resident agencies in UNDAF rollout and formulation including participation in key milestone planning phases.	September – December 2016	RCO	Given the interconnected nature of Agenda 2030- specifically the SDGs- non-resident agencies are important to the UN support to PNG. Ensuring the strengths and expertise of each UN entity is reflected in the UNDAF enhances the 2030 agenda. As a DaO country the UN in PNG should have an empowered Country Team that integrates programmatic and operational functions maximizing coherence, impact, effectiveness and	Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable
Evaluation recommendation	Governance	and Deliveri	efficiency. ng as One- Address cor	L Incerns raised by
10:			mented internal coordination	
	to mitigate tl		ects on country level program	-
Recommendation to:	UNCT		Priority level (1 to 3):	3

Management response: Partially Agree

The UN in PNG is currently in its tenth year as a self-starting DaO country and as such has well developed internal coordination mechanisms as laid out in the UNDAF management and implementation arrangements. There are numerous interagency coordination forums including the outcome results groups (task teams), the UN Communications Group, the UN Operations Management Team, the Programme Coordination Committee (task team leads and UN technical staff) and the UN Country Team.

These structures are well established and enable joint planning, implementation, monitoring and reporting. Gaps in internal coordination between UN agencies are to be addressed through these structures and the rationalised and streamlined management arrangements in the next UNDAF. The external impression of fragmentation is an issue to be resolved through enhanced outreach and communication with development partners, government and civil society including joint participation in relevant working groups, clusters, technical level and sector consultations.

Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible unit(s)	Tracking	
		unit(s)	Comments	Status

10.1 Continue advocacy and	October	UNCT / PCC	UNCT has increased		Initiated
clear communication with	2016		engagement with		Not Initiated
development partners about			Government, civil sc	ociety	Completed
the UN in PNG and the potential			and development		No longer
of engaging with various			partners on the SDG	is.	applicable
Delivering as One mechanisms.					
Evaluation recommendation	Governance	and Delivering	as One- Take note o	of the p	positive outcomes
11:	attributed to	the dedicated c	pordination support a	ssigned	I to one task team,
		ted as an efficie ementation and	nt means of improvin monitoring.	ng overa	all coordination of
Recommendation to:	UNCT		-	o 3):	2
Management response: Agree	I				I
Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tr	racking	
		unit(s)	Comments		Status
11.1 Embed lessons learned in	September	UNCT			Initiated
next UNDAF instituting good	-	·			Not Initiated
practice as standard operating	December				Completed
procedures for results groups.	2016				No longer
					applicable
Evaluation recommendation	Covernance	and Dolivoring	as One- Commence de	avalann	nont of a Rusinoss
12:		-	ramework for the U	•	
12:			ss Services, in parallel		
Recommendation to:					1
	UNCI		Priority level (1 t	o 3):	T
Management response: Agree Key action(s)	Time frame	Deenensikle	т.		
key action(s)	Time traine	Responsible unit(s)		racking	
			Comments		Status
12.1 Draft BOS strategy that is	November	OMT	BOS strategy to be		Initiated
coherent with UNDAF.	2016- April		reviewed, validated	and	Not Initiated
	2017		endorsed by UNCT.		Completed
					Nalangar
					No longer
					applicable
Evaluation recommendation	Partnerships	- Continue to	support the UNRCs	role as	applicable
Evaluation recommendation 13:	-		support the UNRCs dtable Forum and bu		applicable s co-chair of the
	Developmen	t Partners' Roun	••	ild on tl	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in
	Developmen leading deve	t Partners' Roun lopment partne	dtable Forum and bui	ild on tl ngage w	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector
	Developmen leading deve	t Partners' Roun lopment partne ting civil society	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er	ild on tl ngage w	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector
	Development leading deve and map exis	t Partners' Roun lopment partne ting civil society	dtable Forum and bu r dialogue in PNG. Er r organizations to ider	ild on tl ngage w	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector
13:	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa	t Partners' Roun lopment partne ting civil society	dtable Forum and bu r dialogue in PNG. Er r organizations to ider	ild on tl ngage w ntify and	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and
13: Recommendation to:	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa	t Partners' Roun lopment partne ting civil society artnerships. Responsible	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er organizations to ider Priority level (1 t	ild on tl ngage w ntify and	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and 1
13: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa UNCT	t Partners' Roun lopment partne ting civil society artnerships.	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er organizations to ider Priority level (1 t	ild on tl ngage w ntify and o 3):	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and 1
13: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa UNCT	t Partners' Roun lopment partne ting civil society artnerships. Responsible	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er organizations to ider Priority level (1 to Tr	ild on tl ngage w ntify and o 3):	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and 1
13: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s)	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa UNCT Time frame	t Partners' Roun lopment partne ting civil society artnerships. Responsible unit(s)	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er organizations to ider Priority level (1 to Tr Comments	ild on tl ngage w ntify and o 3):	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and 1 Status
13: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 13.1 UNRC as the Chair of the	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa UNCT Time frame	t Partners' Roun lopment partne sting civil society artnerships. Responsible unit(s) UNCT	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er organizations to ider Priority level (1 to Tr Comments	ild on tl ngage w ntify and o 3):	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and 1 Status Initiated
 13: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 13.1 UNRC as the Chair of the Development Partners Round 	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa UNCT Time frame	t Partners' Roun lopment partne iting civil society artnerships. Responsible unit(s) UNCT supported by	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er organizations to ider Priority level (1 to Tr Comments	ild on tl ngage w ntify and o 3):	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and 1 Status Initiated Not Initiated
 13: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 13.1 UNRC as the Chair of the Development Partners Round Table to continue introducing a 	Developmen leading deve and map exis innovative pa UNCT Time frame	t Partners' Roun lopment partne iting civil society artnerships. Responsible unit(s) UNCT supported by	dtable Forum and bui r dialogue in PNG. Er organizations to ider Priority level (1 to Tr Comments	ild on tl ngage w ntify and o 3):	applicable s co-chair of the he UN's success in vith private sector d discuss new and 1 Status Initiated Not Initiated Completed

national development planning;				
opportunities to engage civil				
society and private sector.				
Evaluation recommendation 14:	the UN, "read develop spe	ching those furt cific strategies	 : of the convening and polic hest behind first" and "leaving to bring the voice of	ng no one behind", civil society and
	decision mak marginalized People Living	ers and politicia with special c g With HIV, trai	vulnerable and marginalized ans, with a specific focus on the onsideration for Men havin nsgender people and sex w	the vulnerable and ng Sex with Men, orkers, indigenous
Recommendation to:	UNCT	itional landown	ers, and persons with disabil Priority level (1 to 3):	
	UNCI		Priority level (1 to 3):	1
Management response: Agree		D	-	
Key action(s)	Time frame	Responsible	Tracking	5
		unit(s)	Comments	Status
14.1 Embed a civil society engagement strategy that	September –	PCC	Civil society engagement strategy to be reviewed,	Initiated Not Initiated
"brings the value of skill series	December		validated and endorsed by UNCT.	Completed No longer
"brings the voice of civil society and representatives of special	2016			i to iongei
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF.				applicable
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the	Partnerships agreed with completion s	local authorit tage or factore	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation	Partnerships agreed with completion s	local authorit tage or factore	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15: Recommendation to:	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems	local authorit tage or factore	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate ck of resources for maintena	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance.
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15:	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems UNCT	local authorit tage or factore as a result of la Responsible	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate ck of resources for maintena	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance. 1
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems UNCT	local authori tage or factore as a result of la	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate ck of resources for maintena Priority level (1 to 3):	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance. 1
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 15.1 Facilitate information sharing between national, provincial and local government and programme staff to ensure thorough understanding of decentralization and create mutually supportive synergies enhancing programme	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems UNCT	local authorit tage or factore as a result of la Responsible	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate ck of resources for maintena Priority level (1 to 3): Tracking	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance. 1
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 15.1 Facilitate information sharing between national, provincial and local government and programme staff to ensure thorough understanding of decentralization and create mutually supportive synergies	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems UNCT Time frame Ongoing Ongoing	local authorit itage or factore as a result of la Responsible unit(s) UN Task Teams Welopment- M NDAF 2018-2023 sideration of for	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate for ck of resources for maintena Priority level (1 to 3): Tracking Comments PCC to ensure UN Task Teams are implementing programmes with a sustainability focus and share information where appropriate on lessons learned and good practice, e.g. <u>PCaB</u> . ainstream capacity develo	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance. 1 Status Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable opment responses duty bearers. This hips during the life
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 15.1 Facilitate information sharing between national, provincial and local government and programme staff to ensure thorough understanding of decentralization and create mutually supportive synergies enhancing programme sustainability. Evaluation recommendation 16:	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems UNCT Time frame Ongoing Ongoing	local authorit itage or factore as a result of la Responsible unit(s) UN Task Teams Welopment- M NDAF 2018-2023 sideration of for	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate f ck of resources for maintena Priority level (1 to 3): Tracking Comments PCC to ensure UN Task Teams are implementing programmes with a sustainability focus and share information where appropriate on lessons learned and good practice, e.g. PCaB. ainstream capacity develor for both rights holders and ging the necessary partners sustainability over the longer	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance. 1 Status Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable opment responses duty bearers. This hips during the life er term.
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 15.1 Facilitate information sharing between national, provincial and local government and programme staff to ensure thorough understanding of decentralization and create mutually supportive synergies enhancing programme sustainability. Evaluation recommendation 16: Recommendation to:	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems UNCT Time frame Ongoing Ongoing	local authorit itage or factore as a result of la Responsible unit(s) UN Task Teams Welopment- M NDAF 2018-2023 sideration of for	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate for ck of resources for maintena Priority level (1 to 3): Tracking Comments PCC to ensure UN Task Teams are implementing programmes with a sustainability focus and share information where appropriate on lessons learned and good practice, e.g. <u>PCaB</u> . ainstream capacity develo	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance. 1 Status Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable opment responses duty bearers. This hips during the life
and representatives of special vulnerable groups to the decision makers and politicians" throughout the new UNDAF. Evaluation recommendation 15: Recommendation to: Management response: Agree Key action(s) 15.1 Facilitate information sharing between national, provincial and local government and programme staff to ensure thorough understanding of decentralization and create mutually supportive synergies enhancing programme sustainability. Evaluation recommendation 16:	Partnerships agreed with completion s new systems UNCT Time frame Ongoing Ongoing	local authorit itage or factore as a result of la Responsible unit(s) UN Task Teams Welopment- M NDAF 2018-2023 sideration of for	enance plans and budgets a ties or responsible benefi d into projects to mitigate f ck of resources for maintena Priority level (1 to 3): Tracking Comments PCC to ensure UN Task Teams are implementing programmes with a sustainability focus and share information where appropriate on lessons learned and good practice, e.g. PCaB. ainstream capacity develor for both rights holders and ging the necessary partners sustainability over the longer	applicable re negotiated and ciaries at project the redundancy of ance. 1 Status Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable opment responses duty bearers. This hips during the life er term.

		Responsible unit(s)	Comments	Status
16.1 Fit capacity development response into national capacity development plans ensuring relevance and building on existing examples of good practice.	November – December 2016	PCC	The capacity development response of the UN will follow the recent regulations governing technical assistance and advisory support (Public Employment (Non- Citizens Act) and the Public Service (Management) Act). The 2018 – 2022 UNDAF will build on existing good practice to support capacity development and skills transfer.	Initiated Not Initiated Completed No longer applicable